



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,123                                       | 04/08/2004    | Yukio Hosaka         | 251598US0           | 8635             |
| 22850  | 7590          | 05/14/2008           |                     |                  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. |               |                      |                     |                  |
| 1940 DUKE STREET                                 |               |                      |                     |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314                             |               |                      |                     |                  |
|  |               |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |               |                      | RACHUBA, MAURINA T  |                  |
|  |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |               |                      | 3723                |                  |
|  |               |                      |                     |                  |
| NOTIFICATION DATE                                | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 05/14/2008                                       | ELECTRONIC    |                      |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

|                              |                                      |                                      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/820,123 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>HOSAKA ET AL. |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>Maurina Rachuba   | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3723              |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 January 2008.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-18 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,22 and 23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10-18 and 21 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Claims 7 and 8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 05 April 2006.

2. This application contains claims 7 and 8 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed 05 April 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

3. Claims 22-23 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claims are directed to the species of the pad consisting of the water-insoluble matrix material, with no other additives, a different species than that originally presented

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 22-23 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. **On allowance of a linking or generic claim, claims 22-23 will be rejoined.**

See the Response to Arguments for further discussion.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
5. Claims 1-6, 10-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1 252 973 A1 (cited by applicant) in view of Lehman et al, 6,884,146, with an effective filing date of 04 February 2002. '973 discloses an abrasive pad (see [0036]) comprising: an abrasive substrate having a polishing surface; and a light transmitting member, wherein each of the abrasive substrate and the light transmitting member comprise a water-insoluble matrix material and a water-soluble substance dispersed in the water- insoluble matrix material, wherein each of the water-insoluble matrix material of the abrasive substrate and the light transmitting member comprise a same polymer, and wherein the light transmitting member is attached to the abrasive substrate. The pad and member may comprise the same polymer, [0053], and that the polymer used to make the member is crosslinked 1,2-polybutadiene [0059]. '973 does not expressly disclose how the member is attached to the polishing pad. In a similar apparatus, '146 teaches in a CMP polishing pad that a light transmitting member may be ultrasonically welded (melted together) to a substrate. Because both references teach methods of attaching light transmitting members to substrates, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one method of attaching for the other to achieve the predictable result of permanently assembling the substrate and member.

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 10-18 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that the species of claims 22 and 23 are differ from the originally presented species by the term "consisting of" to limit the structures to the claimed material only. It is the examiner's position that this is a very different species than that originally claimed, which allows for other materials, and requires a different search.

Again, on allowance of a generic or linking claim, these claims will be rejoined.

Regarding applicant's argument against the combination with Petroski, the examiner agrees that the diffusion process as disclosed by Petroski does not require melting the bonding surfaces of both the pad and member. Applicant's amendment has overcome the previous rejection.

***Conclusion***

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Rachuba/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723